

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CITY OF ROSEVILLE EMPLOYEES'
RETIREMENT SYSTEM, Individually and on
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALIGN TECHNOLOGY, INC., JOSEPH M.
HOGAN, and JOHN F. MORICI,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-01822-JGK

[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT

THIS CAUSE came before the Court upon the parties' Joint Stipulation Regarding Response to Complaint and, upon due consideration and for good cause shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

1. Defendants shall not be required to answer or otherwise respond to the current Complaint;
2. Following the appointment of a Lead Plaintiff the parties will confer and, in light of circumstances at that time, will submit a proposed schedule for the filing of an amended complaint and Defendants' response thereto; and
3. The parties' stipulation and present order is without prejudice to or waiver of any rights, arguments, defenses, or other objections of the parties.

Dated: 3/27/20



THE HON. JOHN G. KOELTL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CITY OF ROSEVILLE EMPLOYEES'
RETIREMENT SYSTEM, Individually and on
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALIGN TECHNOLOGY, INC., JOSEPH M.
HOGAN, and JOHN F. MORICI,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-01822-JGK

JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT

WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed a complaint on March 2, 2020 (the "Complaint"), asserting claims under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") and SEC Rule 10b-5 on behalf of a putative class;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 21D(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a), the Court must appoint a Lead Plaintiff;

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to a schedule that extends Defendants' time to respond to the Complaint until after a Lead Plaintiff has been appointed and an amended and consolidated complaint has been filed;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED among the undersigned parties, and respectfully submitted for the Court's approval, as follows:

1. Defendants shall not be required to answer or otherwise respond to the current Complaint;

2. Following the appointment of a Lead Plaintiff the parties will confer and, in light of circumstances at that time, will submit a proposed schedule for the filing of an amended complaint and Defendants' response thereto; and

3. The present stipulation is entered into without prejudice to or waiver of any rights, arguments, defenses, or other objections of the parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 27, 2020

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP

By: /s/ Samuel H. Rudman

SAMUEL H. RUDMAN

58 South Service Road, Suite 200

Melville, NY 11747

Telephone: 631/367-7100

631/367-1173 (fax)

srudman@rgrdlaw.com

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP

BRIAN E. COCHRAN

200 South Wacker Drive, 31st Floor

Chicago, IL 60606

Telephone: 312/674-4674

312/674-4676 (fax)

bcochran@rgrdlaw.com

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP

NOAM MANDEL

125 Park Avenue, 25th Floor

New York, NY 10017

Telephone: 212/791-0567

noam@rgrdlaw.com

VANOVERBEKE, MICHAUD & TIMMONY, P.C.
THOMAS C. MICHAUD
79 Alfred Street
Detroit, MI 48201
Telephone: 313/578-1200
313/578-1201 (fax)
tmichaud@vmtlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: March 27, 2020

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation

By: /s/ Sheryl Shapiro Bassin
SHERYL SHAPIRO BASSIN

1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 999-5800
(212) 999-5899 (fax)
sbassin@wsgr.com

CAZ HASHEMI (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)
IGNACIO E. SALCEDA (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)
650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Telephone: 650/493-9300
650/493-6811 (fax)
chashemi@wsgr.com
isalceda@wsgr.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Align Technology, Inc.,
Joseph M. Hogan, and John F. Morici*